

TULANE MARITIME LAW JOURNAL

VOLUME 33

SUMMER 2009

NUMBER 2

CONTENTS

ARTICLE

- HISTORIC WRECK SALVAGE:
AN INTERNATIONAL PERSPECTIVE *Craig Forrest* 347

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

- RECENT DEVELOPMENTS IN
ADMIRALTY AND MARITIME LAW
AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL
AND IN THE FIFTH AND
ELEVENTH CIRCUITS *David W. Robertson*
Michael F. Sturley 381

NOTES

- BETWEEN A DOCK AND A HARD PLACE:
THE D.C. CIRCUIT DECLARES THE
PUERTO RICO PORTS AUTHORITY
AN ARM OF THE STATE ENTITLED TO
SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY IN *PUERTO*
RICO PORTS AUTHORITY V.
FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION *Blair Brogan* 515
- “WHAT’S THAT FALLING FROM
THE SKY? OH, IT’S JUST A HELICOPTER
AND, YOU KNOW WHAT, IT WILL
PROBABLY ONLY INJURE ITSELF”:
THE FIFTH CIRCUIT’S APPLICATION
OF THE *EAST RIVER* DOCTRINE IN
TURBOMECA, S.A. V. ERA
HELICOPTERS, LLC *Timothy Keslar* 527

<p><i>GREAT LAKES EXPLORATION GROUP v. UNIDENTIFIED WRECK: NAVIGATING FEDERALISM AND ARREST PROCEDURE IN POST-DEEP SEA SHIPWRECK CONTROVERSIES</i>.....</p>	<p><i>Brian K. McGarry</i></p>	<p>539</p>
<p><i>UBERRIMAE FIDEI—ONCE ENTRENCHED, ALWAYS ENTRENCHED: THE THIRD CIRCUIT JOINS THE MAJORITY IN AGF MARINE AVIATION & TRANSPORT v. CASSIN, BUT IS THAT ENOUGH?</i></p>	<p><i>Stephen C. Richman</i></p>	<p>553</p>
<p>THE FIFTH CIRCUIT FINDS THAT CRIMINAL SANCTIONS FOR A FALSIFIED OIL RECORD BOOK ARE CONSISTENT WITH INTERNATIONAL LAW IN <i>UNITED STATES v. JHO</i>.....</p>	<p><i>Roy H. Sparks</i></p>	<p>563</p>
<p>THE FINAL CHAPTER? THE SECOND CIRCUIT ONCE AGAIN ADDRESSES RULE B ATTACHMENTS OF ELECTRONIC FUNDS TRANSFERS IN <i>CONSUB DELAWARE LLC v. SCHAHIN ENGENHARIA LIMITADA</i></p>	<p><i>Ian Taylor</i></p>	<p>575</p>
<p><i>RICE Co. (SUISSE), S.A. v. PRECIOUS FLOWERS LTD.—PRECIOUS INDEED: THE FIFTH CIRCUIT REFRAINS FROM COMPELLING ARBITRATION OF A NONSIGNATORY VESSEL OWNER</i></p>	<p><i>Bradley J. Vogel</i></p>	<p>589</p>
<p>QUANTUM SURVEY 2007-2008</p>		<p>603</p>
<p>COLLISION SURVEY 2007-2008</p>		<p>631</p>
<p>FORUM SELECTION CLAUSE SURVEY 2007-2008</p>		<p>661</p>

Historic Wreck Salvage: An International Perspective

Craig Forrest*

I.	INTRODUCTION	347
II.	AN OUTSIDER'S VIEW OF U.S. HISTORIC SHIPWRECK SALVAGE LAW AND PRACTICE	349
III.	SALVAGE LAW APPLIED TO HISTORIC WRECK	353
	A. <i>U.S. Admiralty Courts' Constructive In Rem Jurisdiction</i>	353
	B. <i>U.S. Salvage Law as Jus Gentium</i>	355
IV.	EQUIVOCAL U.S. LAW AND PRACTISE	359
	A. <i>The Application of Salvage Law in U.S. Litigation</i>	359
	B. <i>Salvage Law and U.S. Statutes</i>	363
V.	A COMPARATIVE NATIONAL PERSPECTIVE	364
VI.	AN INTERNATIONAL PERSPECTIVE	367
	A. <i>The United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea</i>	367
	B. <i>The 1989 Salvage Convention</i>	370
	C. <i>2001 UCH Convention</i>	372
	1. The Salvage Law Clause.....	373
	2. The Non-Commercialization Clause.....	374
	D. <i>U.S. Commentators' Omissions</i>	377
VII.	CONCLUSION	379

I. INTRODUCTION

The problem of how to provide an effective legal preservation and protection regime for wrecks of historical or archaeological significance

* © 2009 Craig Forrest. Senior Lecturer; Deputy Director, Centre for Public, International and Comparative Law; Member, Marine and Shipping Law Unit, TC Beirne School of Law, University of Queensland, Australia. The research assistance of Emma Forbes is gratefully acknowledged.